



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| In re Patent Application of |) | |
| Raymond Suorsa |) | Group Art Unit: 2116 |
| Application No.: 09/699,350 |) | Examiner: Nitin C. Patel |
| Filed: October 31, 2000 |) | Confirmation No.: 8639 |
| For: AUTOMATED PROVISIONING |) | |
| FRAMEWORK FOR INTERNET SITE |) | |
| SERVERS |) | |
| |) | |
| |) | |

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated June 8, 2005, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections of the claims.

Claims 1, 6-17 and 21-28 were rejected under 35 USC § 103, on the grounds that they were considered to be unpatentable over the Malik et al patent (US 5,832,503) in view of the Suzuki et al patent (US 6,816,964). Claims 2-5 and 18-20 were rejected under 35 USC § 103 as being unpatentable over the Malik and Suzuki patents in view of the Lewis et al patent (US 6,421,719)¹. For the reasons presented below, it is respectfully submitted that the Malik and Suzuki patents do not suggest the claimed subject matter to a person of ordinary skill in the art, whether considered by themselves or in combination with the Lewis patent.

¹ Although the statement of rejection appearing at page 5, paragraph 12 of the Office Action refers to the Lewis patent as being the primary reference, it appears the examiner meant to refer to the Malik patent as the main reference.